

Medical Consultation and Headache Impact Among Persons with Chronic Migraine (CM) and Episodic Migraine (EM): Results from the American Migraine Prevalence and Prevention (AMPP) Study

Aubrey N. Manack, PhD¹; Dawn C. Buse, PhD^{2,3}; Daniel Serrano, PhD³; Michael L. Reed, PhD³; Catherine C. Turkel, PharmD, PhD¹; Richard B. Lipton, MD^{2,3} 1. Allergan Inc., Irvine, CA; 2. Montefiore Headache Center & Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY; 3. Vedanta Research, Chapel Hill, NC

BACKGROUND

- Chronic migraine (CM) is burdensome to the individual, society, and the healthcare system.
- Compared with persons with episodic migraine (EM), persons with CM have greater headache impact and higher direct and indirect costs.

OBJECTIVE

 To compare rates of visits to healthcare providers (HCPs) and/or facilities for headache and headache-impact between persons with EM and CM in a US population-based sample.

METHODS

- The AMPP study is a longitudinal, population-based, mailed questionnaire study.
- In 2004, 120,000 US households were screened to identify individuals with severe headache. 24,000 respondents were followed annually between 2005 and 2009.
- A cross-sectional design was used to analyze data from the 2009 survey. Respondents who met ICHD-2 criteria for migraine, had \geq 1 headache in the preceding year, and provided the necessary data were categorized into two groups:
 - CM (average \geq 15 headache days/ month)
 - EM (average ,15 headache days/month)
- Medical consultation was assessed by self-reported numbers of visits to healthcare providers and/or facilities for the treatment of headache in the year preceding the survey.
- The Headache Impact Test (HIT-6) was utilized to assess headache-impact. HIT-6 sum scores range from 36 to 78 and are categorized into severe (≥ 60), substantial (56-59), some (50-55) and little or no impact (\leq 49).
- Descriptive statistics were used to summarize data, t-tests were utilized to compare mean HIT-6 scores, Chi-squared tests were utilized to compare relative risks.
- A *p* value of <0.05 was used to demarcate statistically significant differences.

Response Rates and Sociodemographics

Healthcare Resource Utilization Was Greater Among Persons with CM

- EM. (Table 1)

Persons with CM Were Most Likely to Seek Specialty Care

Persons Seeking Specialty Care Had the Greatest Headache Impact

Table 3: Headache-Impact of Persons Consulting Specialists vs. Those **Not Consulting Specialists**

Consulted S

Consulting sp Not consulting * Significant

RESULTS

• In 2009, 27,253 questionnaires were fielded to 16,983 persons with severe headache and 10,270 non-headache control subjects. 20,107 were returned (73.8% response rate). • 446 respondents met criteria for CM; 6,723 respondents met criteria for EM.

• Both groups were primarily female (CM=81.2% vs. EM=77.8%) and Caucasian (CM=89.2%) vs. EM=92.1%). The CM group was slightly older (CM average age=52.3 vs. EM=50.3), reported lower annual household incomes (38.3% of CM respondents and 26.4% of EM respondents reported annual income <\$30,000/year), and had a higher average body mass index (BMI) (CM=30.5 vs. EM=29.6).

• Relative to persons with EM, those with CM had a greater than 2-fold increase in rates of depression (CM=25.3% vs. EM=9.6%), and almost a 3-fold increase in rates of anxiety (CM=23.1% vs. EM=8.1%).

• 30.5% of persons with CM reported ≥1 visit to a healthcare provider or facility for headache within the preceding 12 months, compared to 12.2% of persons with EM (p<0.001). • The most common types of HCPs visited by both groups for headache were primary care providers (PCPs) followed by neurologists.

• Respondents in the CM group had approximately 3 times greater odds for visiting both PCPs (OR=2.89; 95%CI=2.35, 3.55, p<0.001) and neurologists (OR=3.40; 95% CI=2.59,4.46, p<0.001) at least once in the preceding year compared with persons with

• These differences remained significant even after adjusting for sociodemographics including gender, annual household income, population density, and geographic region.

• 47.1% of those with CM and 20.8% of those with EM reported ever discussing their headaches with a headache specialist (i.e., neurologist, headache or pain specialist). • The two most commonly reported reasons for seeking care from a specialist were: 1. I was referred by another healthcare professional: CM=32.1% vs. EM=12.5% (p<0.001).

2. My headaches became worse: CM=28.2% vs. EM=11.8% (p<0.001). (Table 2)

• Headache impact (measured by HIT-6 sum score) was greater among those consulting neurologists/headache specialists. (Table 3)

pecialist?	CM group mean HIT-6 score	EM group mean HIT-6 score
ecialists	66.7 (severe impact)	64.5 (severe impact) *
g specialists	63.6 (severe impact)	57.3 (substantial impact) *
difference at p<0.0	05	

Table 1. Rates of ≥1 Visit to Healthcare Providers for Headache in the Preceding Year

НСР Тур Primary c

Neurolog Emergen

Pain spec

Headach

Physiciar

Nurse pra Obstetrici

Urgent ca

* Significant at <.05

Table 2. Self-Reported Reasons for Seeking Specialty Care

Reasons

I was refe profession

My heada

My heada

personal I did not l

My heada

My heada

I was refe

* Significant at <.05 + Columns sum to >100% because respondents were instructed to check all responses that applied.

 Headache impact appears to be a major driver of seeking specialty care. • As "referral by other health care professional" was the most common reason for seeking specialty care among persons with CM, appropriate referral might optimize care for these complex patients.

The American Migraine Prevalence and Prevention Study is funded through a research grant to the National Headache Foundation from Ortho-McNeil Neurologics, Inc., Titusville, NJ. Additional analyses and poster preparation were supported by Allergan Inc., Irvine, CA.





e	CM N (%)	EM N (%)	p value
are provider	155 (34.8%)	1,047 (15.6%)	p<0.001*
ist	73 (16.4%)	366 (5.4%)	p<0.001*
cy department	38 (8.5%)	254 (3.8%)	p<0.001*
cialist	30 (6.7%)	86 (1.3%)	p<0.001*
e specialist	27 (6.0%)	69 (1.0%)	p<0.001*
n's assistant	25 (5.6%)	105 (1.6%)	p<0.001*
actitioner	23 (5.2%)	102 (1.5%)	p<0.001*
ian-gynecologist	13 (2.9%)	75 (1.1%)	p=0.001*
are clinic	10 (2.2%)	84 (1.2%)	p=0.079

+ Columns sum to >100% because respondents were instructed to check all responses that applied.

	CM N (%)	EM N (%)	p value		
erred by another healthcare nal	143 (32.1%)	841 (12.5%)	p<0.001*		
aches became worse	126 (28.2%)	794 (11.8%)	p<0.001*		
aches affected my home, family, or life	107 (23.9%)	652 (9.7%)	p<0.001*		
nave effective medication	<mark>89 (19.9%)</mark>	498 (7.4%)	p<0.001*		
aches affected my productivity at work	58 (13.0%)	384 (5.7%)	p<0.001*		
aches caused me to miss work	50 (11.2%)	320 (4.7%)	p<0.001*		
erred by a friend or family member	10 (2.2%)	78 (1.2%)	P=0.049*		

CONCLUSIONS

• Persons with CM were significantly more likely to consult PCPs and specialists for headache care, and also more likely to use the emergency department. • Nonetheless, a minority of persons with CM reported visits to headache/ pain specialists or neurologists for headache.